



**Peer Review for**  
**Forum Theologicum Sardicense**  
*Academic Journal of the Theological Faculty at*  
*Sofia University "St. Clement of Ohrid"*  
 ISSN 1310-7909

**Recommended topics:**  
**Review report**

Article number		
Title of the article		
Date received by the censor		
Date of report submission		
	<b>Comments on article sections</b>	
Title, summary, keywords		
Introduction		
Scientific literature review		
Methodology		
Results and discussions		
Conclusions		
Bibliography and data source		
General comments: <i>structure, originality, graphics and tables, language, etc.</i>		
<b>Recommendation</b>	<i>Check</i>	<b>Reasoning for the recommendation</b>
Acceptance without review		
Acceptance with minor modifications		
Acceptance with major changes		
Reject		

### Guidelines for review (Peer Review)

The article is evaluated according to the following criteria and questions:

**1. Originality**

- Is the article new and interesting enough to justify publication?
- Does the article meet the standards of academic research?
- Does the research correspond to the recommended topic?

## 2. Structure

Is the article clearly presented/stated?

Are all elements present: abstract, keywords, JEL classification, introduction, methodology, results, conclusion, bibliography/data sources?

In preparing your review, please consider each section of the paper with its corresponding questions, i.e.:

- ✓ **Title.** Does the title clearly describe the content of the article?
- ✓ **Summary.** Does it accurately reflect the content of the article? Does it make the reader read the whole study with interest?
- ✓ **Introduction.** Briefly explain the context of the research and the concepts used in the paper? Briefly and concretely describe the objectives, ideas and results of the study? Does it specify the author's main contribution? Are key words used appropriately?
- ✓ **Scientific literature review.** Is there a description of the current state of knowledge in the field in a clear, systematic, critical, coherent and concise way, with reference to the literature and/or the authors' previous achievements? Is the description done accurately in an honest and ethical manner? Is the literature used referenced in the bibliography?
- ✓ **Methodology.** Are the methods and techniques used logically ordered and adequately explained? Does the article clearly state what type of data was used and its source? Is the sample size representative (where appropriate)? Is there a logical sequence of data processing, analysis and interpretation of results? Are the methods and techniques used in processing the data and presenting the research results modern?
- ✓ **Results.** Does the author(s) explicitly present the research findings/results? Are the results presented clearly and in a logical sequence? Are the analysis and interpretation of the data consistent and in line with studies in the literature/international comparisons?
- ✓ **Conclusions.** Does the author(s) clearly indicate whether the study results correlate with expectations and previous research? Is the added value of this research presented? Are there any challenges to further research?
- ✓ **Graphics and tables.** Do the figures, diagrams, charts and/or tables make it easier to understand the presentation of the methodology used and the usual results? Are they correctly and clearly produced?
- ✓ **Bibliography and data sources.** Is the text of the paper correctly referenced to bibliographic sources? Are the bibliographical sources correctly presented?
- ✓ **General comments:** Does the article entice the reader to peruse the work with interest? Is there originality in the approach? Is the language and form of expression and presentation appropriate to academic research? Is the general form of presentation of the article appropriate to academic research?

## 3. Ethical aspects

If you suspect that the article is substantially borrowing from an existing work(s), please notify the editor and cite the work(s).